Attorney's Docket No.: 42390.P3679

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

original, first, and join		or (if only one name is listed or are listed below) of the su on the invention entitled		
Data Cache Hav	ving Data Storage and Tag/F	lit/Miss Logic in Separate Cloc	k Doma	ains
the specification of w	hich			
XX	is attached hereto. was filed on November 13 United States Application or PCT International Application and was amended on			
specification, includin not know and do not States of America be publication in any co application, that the s than one year prior to the subject of an inv foreign to the United representatives or a	g the claim(s), as amended believe that the claimed inverse fore my invention thereof, country before my invention to same was not in public use to this application, and that the tentor's certificate issued be States of America on an application and the states of America on an application.	nd the contents of the above- by any amendment referred to ention was ever known or use or patented or described in an thereof or more than one year or on sale in the United States the invention has not been pate effore the date of this application points (for a utility patent application this application.	to aboved in the print print ar prior sof Am tented coion in a gal	e. I do United ed to this nerica more or made any country
	uty to disclose all information, Code of Federal Regulation	on known to me to be materia ons, Section 1.56.	al to pa	tentability
(d), of any foreign a also identified below	application(s) for patent or	Fitle 35, United States Code inventor's certificate listed bur patent or inventor's certificationity is claimed:	elow ar	nd have ving a filing
Prior Foreign Applicat	ion(s)		Claimed	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
Rev. 10/01/96 (D1) ca	ak	-1-		

(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the benef States provisional appli		States Code, Section 119(e	e) of an	y United
(Application Number)	Filing Date			
(Application Number)	Filing Date			
application(s) listed belo application is not disclose the first paragraph of Tit disclose all information Code of Federal Regular	ow and, insofar as the seed in the prior United the 35, United States Coknown to me to be mations, Section 1.56 whic	d States Code, Section 120 of subject matter of each of the States application in the mande, Section 112, I acknowle terial to patentability as defined became available between mational filing date of this a	claims nner pr dge the ned in the filin	of this ovided by duty to Title 37, g date of the
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented pending		oned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented pending		oned)

I hereby appoint Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,942; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; William Donald Davis, Reg. No. 38,428; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Karen L. Feisthamel, Reg. No. 40,264; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Eric Ho, Reg. No. 39,711; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; Stephen L. King, Reg. No. 19,180; Dolly M. Lee, Reg. No. 39,742; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Ronald W. Reagin, Reg. No. 20,340; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Steven R. Sponseller, Reg. No. 39,384; David R. Stevens, Reg. No. 38,626; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys; and Gary B. Goates, Reg. No. 35,159; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; and Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/First Inventor David J. Sager						
Inventor's Signature \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Date 2/11/97					
Residence Portland, OR	CitizenshipUSA					
(City, State)	(Country)					
Post Office Address9540 N.W. Skyview Dr						
Portland, OR 97231						

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.